Psychological resilience in education: Adaptive learning and well-being

Sibaram Prasad Panda [1], Anita Padhy [2]

[1] *Decision Ready Solutions, United States*
[2] *Biju Patnaik University of Technology Rourkela, Odisha, India*

Check for updates

Abstract

Resilience in education is increasingly recognized as key to the support of individuals well-being and adaptive learning, particularly given the enormous challenges posed by the COVID-19 emergency. The capacity to deal with stress, adjust to new learning environments and achieve mental wellbeing has become an important part of the success of students at all levels. Despite the increasing awareness of resilience as a crucial factor in academic performance and mental welfare, little is known about the correlates of psychological resilience in students. This review of the literature discusses the impact of psychological resilience in education, specifically on adaptive learning, mental health, and well-being across various student populations including adolescents and emerging adults within higher educational contexts. The review also explores the effects of important variables i.e., social support, coping behaviors, burnout, anxiety and depression on resilience. Using the PRISMA approach, this review shows new trends and gaps in the literature as well as potential directions for further research studies. Results shows that psychological resilience is not only a protective element, but also contributed to social, environmental and school variables. A review shows the importance of resilience interventions for well-being and effective learning in educational settings, focusing on global challenges such as pandemics and climate change.

Keywords: Education, Psychological resilience, Psychology, Mental health, Controlled study, Depression.

1. Introduction

There are several significant policy, practice, and research implications of this study on psychological resilience. Governments ought to offer community-based interventions that offer young people access to environmental and personal advances to improve their resilience in meaningful ways. In all college-based programs, psychologists can help enhance protective and supportive processes that enable students to build resilience proactively. The role of social support to assist university students in buffering their later anxiety should be elucidated, and psychological and physical research is needed in order to promote the subjective well-being and mental health resilience of individuals [1,2]. Further in-depth interviews should be conducted between students as well and special solutions should be proposed based on the reasons identified, i.e. negative influences which are found to negatively impact students' psychological resilience.

Policy-level interventions, such as provision of educational initiatives and establishment of mentorship programmes may be instituted for students [2]. They can be included within activities that decrease future anxiety of students, on one hand as especially to fourth year students, among the activities increasing the activity of future and career center, and the expansion of such activities. In other words, students who aspire to pursue future goals and feel a degree of stress in the process are more likely not to overthink what they must do with the rest of their lives out of worry or lack of self-belief. On the basis of those findings, psychosocial intervention program may be developed in university psychological counseling and guidance work to alleviate students' future worry [3-5]. The research findings may also have implications for mental health counseling and guidance services that enhance

the subjective well-being of individuals. Furthermore, education politicians and university managements can implement counseling centers at the university allowing students to express all their problems and enhance their psychological strength [2,6]. Such sessions can also include training, seminars and events that promote psychological resilience. It may be explored the support to students for future issues, with career days and job offers at university during last semesters. We wish that other variables possible to influence of the relationship between psychological resilience and future anxiety, would be considered mediating or moderating in future study. Furthermore, more sophisticated statistical analyses can be performed to identify the latent and mediating variables that could work.

This study provides theoretical and practical implications for enhancing university students' academic performance, as well as decreasing the dropout rate. Academic resilience because an important aspect of academic success [7-9]. It allows students to have grit and withstand difficulties and failures while still performing academically. There is a variety of factors that may influence the psychological resilience for university students [10]. With respect to institutional policies, studies suggest that students who need the most academic support could benefit from more supplemental instruction and tutoring. Many studies have shown that university students have clinical levels of stress [10,11]. Such tutoring programs can be substantially different from each other, such as those providing peer counseling and those providing expert instructors to assist the course. Another way to better organize the student support system is by more effective cooperation among the teaching staff, administration and student support services.

The technology is also redrawing the face of psychological resilience in learning. Digital interventions could be used, via apps or web-based platforms that provide personalized for example cognitive behavioral resources, relaxation and mindfulness tools to use outside of school hours by students [12-14]. These technology interventions create an easily scalable resource for managing and preventing mental health problems that would be helpful to promoting resilience in the absence of other resources. In addition, the worldwide situation has shown us how essential it is to be resistant to systemic shock [3,15-17]. Humanity has also recently been reminded of the need for systems of education to be resilient and responsive in the face of external shocks the COVID-19 pandemic, environmental disasters, socio-political convulsions. In this sense, resilience is being redefined as a quality that goes beyond the individual to become a capacity that schools need to foster in order to maintain continuity and equity in learning.

Although there is increasing evidence of psychological resilience, a number of unanswered questions persist about the process in school settings. The contribution of particular factors such as mindfulness, social support and curriculum modification to improved resilience has not been thoroughly investigated. It is also an understudied topic how resilience, mental health and academic achievement intersect considering emerging global issues and the changing educational landscape. The purpose of this review is to summaries, synthesize and provide recommendations about psychological resilience literature in education as related to mental health, stress and well-being. The purpose of the study is to provide a value add to this area by presenting an overview of recent developments, indicating major resist facilitators and suggesting potential future research that would enable it to be more effectively addressed.

2. Methodology

This literature review method adheres to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) approach (Fig. 1) aimed at a systematic and well-defined review of appropriate research. The initial search conducted in various academic databases including Scopus, PubMed and led to 860 identified records. Twenty other studies were also included from potentially relevant registers. Duplicate (n = 86), ineligible by automation tool (n = 14) and other non-pertinent records (n = 6) were excluded. During the second phase, 776 records were screened for relevance. From which 613 studies were identified for retrieval after exclusion of 163 records according to criteria of the scope and focus. Of them, 25 reports were not recovered, and a total of 588 reports were assessed for eligibility. The criteria for inclusion primarily concerned investigations of psychological resilience

within the educational setting, particularly as it pertains to mental health, stress and coping behavior, and adaptive learning. Reports not specifically concerning psychological resilience or published in the specified time period were excluded. In the last inclusion step 315 articles were found to be considered relevant and included in the review. An extra 322 reports of new studies were added in to make sure updating review on latest researches.


Fig. 1. Framework for eligibility criteria based on PRISMA Protocol

3. Results and discussions

Co-occurrence analysis of the keywords in literature

The research domain co-occurrence analysis shows that the term "resilience" and its variations such as psychological resilience is overwhelmingly used most often and in a central position with regard to other terms. It became prevalent in several research works, and is mostly associated to many other expressions, it revealed the aspect of an own concept across the literature. This centrality is also presented through the large size and highly connected position of the resilience node in the network (Fig. 2). In bibliometric analyses of a type, generic human-related terms and key psychological terms typically figure highly for instance, words such as 'human', 'adult', 'female' and 'male' are variously amongst the most commonly occurring alongside psychological constructs including resilience and anxiety. In line with this, in our network "human" (blue cluster) and "psychological resilience" (green/yellow cluster, where both psychological resilience studies are included) are two of the most

common nodes after resilience work showing that many projects study human participants and explicitly engages psychological resilience as an entity. The term "human" is common partly because most studies included use it to describe human-subject research but also because its central position in the network suggests that a wide variety of participant groups have been studied. In the meantime, "psychological resilience" as a keyword highlights that focus/resilience was emphasized as psychological trait/outcome in those studies.

Cluster Red: Themes associated with education

The red cluster groups keywords related to learning environments, learning processes, and motivation. Under the heading "educational context" are terms such as 'higher education', 'university', 'students', 'teacher' and 'learning', suggesting a concentration of research on resilience within academic contexts. Key learning-relevant psychological constructs are also present: "self-efficacy", "motivation" and - "optimism" are signature red-cluster terms. These are ideas that are connected to a student's ability to learn and excel in schools. The centrality of self-efficacy with resilience is particularly worth noting confidence in one's abilities is commonly associated with a higher level of mental toughness in educational psychology. For example, it is well documented that strong self-efficacy enhances resilience and benefits stress coping and general psychological health in learners. Likewise, while motivation is itself an effect of resilience, it can be a mechanism through which resilience may cultivate other factors that are key components of the construct. The red cluster also contain terms such as "academic performance" or "achievement", suggesting a focus on research in relation to how resilience associates with or improves educational outcomes. Other terms include "burnout" and "emotional intelligence", which are displayed in red, suggesting that there may be some overlap with well-being. Finally, the red cluster reflects educational resilience posing the question of how adaptive learning, personal efficacy and motivational aspects fosters wellbeing and achievement in students.

Cluster 1 (Blue): Human development and demographics

The blue cluster consists of scientific terms associated with human subjects, developmental stages, and demographic descriptors. It contains words such as "human", "humans", and "child"; but also related terms, "adolescence", "young adult"; "female" and "male". This cluster implies that much of the literature focusses on resilience in relation to ages and genders or at least tries to be clear about the demographic background of participants. There are also quite a lot of coordinates with the words "child", "adolescents", "school" and "parents": this is indicative for research on resilience in young populations studies which investigate childhood or adolescent resilience, that included factors like adverse child events and parental influences over developing resilience. Lastly, the presence of items like female and male in relations reveals that these explore differences between men and women with regard to resilience or simply report the gender/sex of their sample as demographic information. The phrase "human experiment" even appears at the top of this cluster, a term that in fact does not represent any unethical sort of experimentation. This kind of methodological indexing, along with terms such as "interview" or "questionnaire", reveals the human subjects research nature of our field. The blue cluster, at its roots, represents the who in resilience research. This cluster highlights that psychological resilience is examined as a concern related to human development and takes into account life cycle and demographic variables.

Mental health and coping, green cluster

The green cluster contains keywords reflecting mental health outcomes, psychological states and coping. Key words that fall within this cluster are, "depression", "anxiety", "mental disease", "stress", coping behavior, social support, possibly trauma or distress. This cluster most directly represents the psychological component of resilience research. Another finding the co-occurrence of resilience and depression or anxiety reinforces that much research focusses on relations between resilience, constructive functioning, and psychopathology. In fact, resilient persons are also known to have lower levels of depression and anxiety, as well as better stress-coping abilities. In the network, coping behavior is visualized as a green node associated with resilience, suggesting that differences in 'resilience' and mechanisms which are likely underpinning these have also emerged as key targets of our day-to-day actions. Several have also conceptualized coping as both a component of resilience and an outcome that

is impacted by resilience). This cluster, additionally characterized by the presence of social support, also demonstrates its link with mental health social support as often investigated in connection with being able to improve resilience and coping with stress within educational settings. The green cluster accordingly captures where psychological resilience interacts with mental health: It includes studies about how resilience supports stress buffering, lowers susceptibility to anxiety and depression and links to improved coping and well-being. For instance, a result is that the development of resilience can lessen the negative effect of stress and anxiety which consequently leads to a higher life satisfaction and less depressive symptoms in students. This collection underscores that resilience in education is not only a matter of academic success, it's also about mental health and the ability to rise above challenges. Here, the collinearity of terms such as trauma and burnout syndrome or distress syndrome implies that some studies target particular stressors in relation to resilience as a buffer.

Yellow cluster: Research methods and COVID-19 context

The yellow cluster includes keywords related to both research methodology and the context of the COVID-19 pandemic. Important terms in this cluster include "cross-sectional study", "longitudinal study", "questionnaire", "survey", "procedures", and unique terms for this cluster "COVID-19", "pandemic", "coronavirus disease", and "epidemiology". The key words cross-sectional study and to a lesser extent longitudinal study suggest that many papers in this area describe their research design in keywords. Cross-sectional surveys appear most prominent according to keyword distribution, which might suggest a methodological fad. This cluster contains "risk factors" or "risk factor", often appearing in yellow, suggesting a methodological preoccupation with finding what underlies student resilience is risk or, sometimes, protective factors. There is a significant number of pandemic-related terms in yellow cluster here. This term-intensive cluster comprises a major research context as a sizeable portion of the articles was written as a response to the pandemic. The years 2020 - 2022 was a period of burgeoning interest in psychological resilience, as unprecedented challenges were faced by educators and learners. Keywords like COVID-19, pandemic, and coronavirus disease 2019 co-occur with resilience, suggesting the numerous studies on how the pandemic affected student well-being, how resilience can help relieve pandemic-related stress, and how education systems evolve adaptive learning during crises. These cluster-aligned keywords suggest that much studies about the pandemic utilized cross-sectional surveys to study resilience and well-being objectively. For example, a researcher may have asked students to fill out a questionnaire about resilience, thereby using the keywords survey, cross-sectional, and COVID-19 to study students' mental health during a lockdown. The yellow cluster demonstrates the most recent surge in research and methodology: it signals a wave of COVID-19 studies and illuminates a widely used research method in resilience research. However, it also signals something to the methodology, there is an extraordinary amount of cross-sectional data. Thus, more variety is needed, as there will always be a need for longitudinal study.

In this context centrality would be not only about frequency but also to how much a keyword is connected to others. The keyword resilience acts as a central point that connects several clusters – it is co-occurring with academic words and mental health vocabulary, as well as terms used in methodology or study context. Its position in the middle of the figure means that it has high degree centrality, and, probably, also high betweenness centrality. On a practical level, resilience manifests in many contexts from student engagement to mental health, making it an interdisciplinary theme of interest. Other common terms such as 'students', 'education', 'coping', 'depression' and 'anxiety' also have prominent central positions in their clusters, these are nodes of moderate size often located at the interface of clusters, meaning that they bridge several related subtopics. For instance, "students" (red cluster) links resilience to the school-by-school context while "anxiety and depression" (green clusters) links it to mental health outcomes. This peak shows that resilience against such stress has been a major focus in the well-being and continuity of education during global challenges. Moreover, one of the most intensively connected community is focused on resilience and related terms such as psychological resilience, resilience, psychological and excellence in education. This key association suggests that resilience is a salient concept in educational research, namely when investigating the ways students' capacity to manage adversity influences their learning.

Fig. 2 Co-occurrence analysis of the keywords in literature

Psychological resilience acts as a protection against the most typical problems such as depression, anxiety and burnout that may negatively affect the students' academic and mental health. Research on resiliency is beginning to explore potential sex-based differences in experiencing and responding to stressors unique to educational environments. Adolescence is a period in which rapid cognitive and emotional changes occur, it is a key time to explore the association between student resilience and school experience. It also focuses attention on the fact that resilience research should put culture at the centre of its focus, with notions such as China and covid-19 suggesting a cultural backdrop where not just resilience, but more than this, a country's resilience could be embedded into culture. It is also essential to understand the cultural dimensions of resilience so that interventions and policies affecting education are adapted to meet children's needs in diverse contexts.

The co-occurrence network in resilience studies discloses many emerging trends. Certainly, the specific impacts of COVID-19 have led to an explosion of research on global crises and resilience. Moreover, the term covid-19 is included in almost all relevant generalized subgraphs which indicates its growing importance on how to characterize the students' journey of experiencing and coping with the pandemic. More and more research is turning to how the shift to remote learning and social isolation as well as mental health challenges connected to the pandemic have affected students' resilience. The educational landscape has been radically reshaped by COVID-19. Not only must research address and track adaptable learning but it will also need to measure how adaptable learning performance gives way to resilient patterns of both mental health and academic success in the midst crisis. Resilience-driven technology and more choices in study approach. New terms like adaptive learning, learning that takes up your article status and so on show that there is an increasing interest in how educational technology can be used to cultivate resilience. Adaptive learning systems, which learn students' needs and problems, are now being considered as areas where resilience intervention might be possible.

The inclusion of variables like risk, education level suggests the necessity to research how each and every factor interacts with respect to resilience at different points during their lives in the young people. Cross-cultural comparisons are beginning to emerge as researchers begin to wonder whether resilience is different between cultures. As resilience, a worldwide construct, it suggestion that being not one quality but something demonstrated in various ways by individuals of different nations and societies and at every period of life. Cross-study comparisons between different educational systems and cultural background can help to illustrate how resilience is developed and demonstrated in different settings. Findings suggest influences for culturally appropriate interventions to address the needs of students across different populations.

Research on psychological resilience in the field of education, specifically adaptive learning and wellbeing, has experienced a significant transition during the last years. Since the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, academic institutions globally have been working to address challenges and research focus has transitioned toward people, specifically students' resilience. Moreover, as people recognize that environmental pressures may be harmful to mental health and poverty-reduction capabilities but understand more fully the role social support systems used as protection factors for some of these difficulties people's existence brings concerning a demand has emerged From theory published in the educational literature.

Adaptive learning and its relationship to resilience

Organizational resiliency has become a dominating theme in the management literature since organizational commotion became order of the day [18-20]. Businesses and organizations are confronting dynamic challenges, including global crises and disruptive technologies that require them to be adaptable in order to survive. Some recent organizational behavior research suggests that cultures of continuous learning are at the heart of resilient institutions. Organizational learning is the capacity of an organization to learn from experience, i.e. its successes as well as failures and to use the knowledge derived from this to adapt its behavior, systems etc. in future [21-23]. The desirable resilience outcomes are connected to the organizational level in all of these high-impact publishing reviews and studies since 2022 by adaptive learning compared. For instance, systematic studies of companies during the COVID-19 pandemic revealed that those with agile learning routines were much better at surviving the crisis and even capitalizing on unexpected openings. Conceptually, organizational resilience is described as occurring in stages anticipation, coping and adaptation, with learning facilitating each stage. In preparation, organizations learn to scan the environment for signs and cues that danger may be lurking. In the acute coping phase, resilient companies enable swift learning on the fly, and improvisation of responses in real time, along with sharing the insights thus gained from customer contact upward [9,24,25]. In the long-term adaptation stage, they institutionalize those lessons from the crisis into new standard practices or business models. Systems models like the learning organization and high-reliability organizations show us that resilience is not about bouncing back once, but getting wiser after every bounce. Table 1 shows the applications, techniques, and tools for promoting psychological resilience in education.

The role of social support in resilience

The importance of social support has been emphasized with the COVID-19 pandemic [26-28]. The pandemic-induced isolation and uncertainty had added to mental distress for students, who also struggled to learn remotely amid curtailed access to needed resources. Yet research has discovered that students who had strong social support in the community or family setting, as well as school resources were more likely to have resilience and succeed at navigating remote learning and social distancing.

Table 1: Applications, techniques, and tools for promoting psychological resilience in education

Sr. No.	Aspect	Application	Technique/Method	Tool/Resource	Issue/Concern
1	Psychological Resilience	Adaptive learning environments	Mindfulness, Stress Management	Apps (e.g., Headspace, Calm)	Limited access to mental health resources
2	Mental Health	Mental well-being for students	Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT)	CBT-based programs	Stigma around seeking mental health support
3	Coping Behavior	Coping strategies for stress	Problem-solving techniques	Online counseling platforms	Insufficient coping mechanisms among students
4	Burnout	Preventing academic burnout	Self-care routines, relaxation	Wearable devices (e.g., Fitbit)	High stress in higher education environments
5	Social Support	Peer support in education	Peer mentoring, group therapy	Social networking platforms	Lack of strong support networks in online learning
6	Well-being	Enhancing student well-being	Self-reflection, goal setting	Journaling apps, well-being surveys	Inadequate integration of well-being into curricula
7	Stress	Reducing academic pressure	Mindfulness, time management	Stress tracking apps	Pressure to succeed academically
8	Anxiety	Alleviating academic anxiety	Relaxation techniques, deep breathing	Online mental health resources	Anxiety due to uncertain future (e.g., post-Covid-19)
9	Depression	Preventing and managing depression	Cognitive restructuring	Telehealth services	Difficulty in identifying early signs of depression
10	Climate Change	Impact on students' mental health	Awareness campaigns, mindfulness	Educational tools for climate change	Stress from environmental uncertainty
11	Vulnerability	Identifying at-risk students	Risk assessment tools	Surveys, feedback mechanisms	Overlooking vulnerable student populations
12	Pandemic	Coping during global crises	Virtual classrooms, online therapy	Video conferencing tools (Zoom, Skype)	Disruption in education and mental health services
13	Quality of Life	Improving student lifestyle	Balanced curriculum, student autonomy	Well-being surveys, lifestyle trackers	Heavy academic workload hindering well-being
14	Curriculum	Integrating mental health in curriculum	Interdisciplinary teaching	E-learning platforms	Limited focus on psychological resilience in curricula
15	Nursing Education	Mental resilience in healthcare training	Simulation-based training	Virtual simulation tools	Stress and burnout in medical/nursing education
16	Adaptation	Coping with change in learning environments	Adaptive learning platforms	Learning management systems (LMS)	Resistance to change in traditional education systems
17	Mental Stress	Managing stress in educational settings	Stress management workshops	Mental health apps, online platforms	Lack of personalized stress management strategies
18	Mindfulness	Building resilience through mindfulness	Meditation and breathing exercises	Mobile apps (e.g., Calm, Insight Timer)	Overuse of digital tools for mindfulness leading to tech burnout

| 19 | Risk Factor | Identifying mental health risk factors | Psychometric assessments | Risk assessment surveys | Difficulty in early identification of mental health issues |
| 20 | Prevention and Control | Preventing mental health crises | Proactive mental health programs | Digital therapy solutions (e.g., Woebot) | Barriers to engaging students in preventive mental health initiatives |

Mindfulness and stress management techniques

Mindfulness forms the basis for all interventions aimed at promoting mental resilience among school populations and have increasingly been the focus of research due to their positive effect on student's well-being. Mindfulness Meditative Practices that focus on attention to the present moment with an attitude of acceptance have been associated with decreases in anxiety, depression, and stress and improvements in emotional control and cognition [6,29-31]. Mindfulness-based techniques, consisting of meditation, breathing exercises, and body scans, have been adopted as part of educational programs including nursing education and medical education in high-stress settings [32,33]. The use of mindfulness in education has been proven to have a positive effect on students' resilience, enabling them effectively navigate stress and increase their emotional wellness. Practice of mindfulness improves mental health and academic well-being by enhancing emotional regulation, attentional control, and resiliency many students have better performance. Table 2 shows the emerging trends and future directions in resilience research and education.

Table 2: Emerging trends and future directions in resilience research and education

Sr. No.	Future Direction	Challenge	Opportunity	Application	Expected Outcome
1	Digital Mental Health	Digital divide, unequal access	Expanding access through telehealth	Online therapy, e-counseling	Increased access to mental health resources
2	Adaptive Learning	Student resistance to adaptive learning	Personalizing learning paths	AI-based adaptive learning systems	Better student engagement and learning outcomes
3	Stress Resilience	Lack of standardized measures	Developing universal resilience frameworks	Online courses, resilience training	Enhanced coping abilities in diverse educational contexts
4	Hybrid Learning Models	Balancing virtual and physical learning	Blended learning for greater flexibility	Learning management systems (LMS)	More inclusive learning environments
5	Pandemic Preparedness	Lack of preparedness in education systems	Building long-term pandemic strategies	Crisis management training, simulations	Enhanced preparedness for future global crises
6	Longitudinal Studies	Resource constraints in data collection	Large-scale cross-sectional studies	Data analytics platforms	Improved understanding of resilience over time
7	Student Well-being	Underfunding in well-being programs	Increased investment in well-being programs	Holistic curricula	Better integration of well-being into education
8	Social Support Networks	Fragmented student communities	Fostering stronger peer networks	Social media, virtual communities	Greater sense of belonging and support
9	Coping Mechanisms	Inadequate coping resources for marginalized groups	Culturally sensitive interventions	Culturally tailored mental health services	Reduced disparities in mental health outcomes

10	Curriculum Design	Traditional educational models	Integrating resilience into the core curriculum	Resilience training in classrooms	More resilient and adaptable students
11	Academic Burnout	High academic pressure	Developing holistic academic support	Faculty-student mentoring programs	Reduced burnout rates in high-stakes academic settings
12	Emotional Intelligence	Lack of emotional literacy	Incorporating emotional intelligence into teaching	Emotional intelligence training programs	Enhanced emotional skills in students and educators
13	Mental Health Screening	Lack of early detection tools	Implementing mental health screenings in education	Psychometric tools, surveys	Early intervention leading to better mental health outcomes
14	Technology in Education	Over-reliance on technology	Use of tech for personalized mental health support	AI and chatbots for mental health	More personalized, accessible mental health solutions
15	Well-being Integration	Fragmented well-being initiatives	Integrated well-being frameworks	Holistic student well-being programs	Students leading healthier and more balanced lives
16	Policy and Advocacy	Limited mental health policies	Advocacy for mental health in education	Policy development, advocacy groups	National and global policies for student mental health
17	Future of Learning	Uncertainty in future educational models	Innovative learning models	Gamification, virtual classrooms	More engaging and adaptive learning experiences
18	Emotional Resilience	Emotional overload in high-stress environments	Emotional resilience training	Group activities, peer support	Improved emotional resilience in students
19	Cross-Disciplinary Approaches	Lack of integration across fields	Collaborative research on mental health and education	Collaborative research models	Increased interdisciplinary solutions to resilience
20	Inclusivity	Marginalization of certain student groups	Inclusive mental health support	Accessibility tools, peer programs	More inclusive educational experiences for all students

Artificial intelligence in psychological resilience

Educators and education researchers are exploring Artificial Intelligence (AI) more and more as a way to help learners build their resiliency and well-being in K–12 schools, higher education, and adult learning [34-36]. Recent developments in AI shows chatbots and conversational agents, affective computing, learning analytics, natural language processing (NLP), machine learning, predictive modeling, and adaptive learning systems, provide new tools for supporting students' stress management, emotional regulation, self-efficacy and coping skills [16,37-40]. AI-enabled interventions have already started to make a significant contribution towards better mental health by improving access, personalization and scale of interventions within more affordable costs. AI-powered tutors that detect what type of reaction a learner might have in the future, to chatbots acting as our 24/7 wellness coach, AI's integration with social-emotional support represents a growing frontier.

A prominent example of AI for resilience is conversational agents, chatbots or virtual companions trained to deliver emotional support, coaching, or counselling [41-43]. These AI agents utilize natural language processing to interact with students in text or voice conversations, often using therapeutic interventions to enable users manage stress and difficult emotions. Such chatbots are in pilots in both secondary and post-secondary education as an adjunct to traditional counselling, and readily provided

via wellness apps for young people and adult learners [44,45]. For instance, a recent meta-analysis and systematic review exploring chatbot interventions for youth indicated that the use of such technologies can lead to large reductions in psychological distress. Although their effects were mixed on the wider measures of well-being, the review also found that AI chatbots are a viable and acceptable method to support the mental health of students, with possibility of complementing current services. Crucially, researchers suggest more successful design of chatbots will also involve optimizing ease-of-use when integrating them with popular messaging apps and including several modes of communication, text, voice or even avatar-based alongside developing better language processing and privacy applications to aid usability and trust.

While the majority of students feel lonely in the study, they still experienced strong social support from the AI agent, signaling that well-designed chatbots can reduce loneliness [22,30,46-48]. Similar AI-enabled wellness chatbots rooted in cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) have demonstrated efficacy in randomized controlled trials. In another example, the Woebot chatbot decreased symptoms of depression and anxiety among college-age users after 2 weeks of exposure, while the Wysa app emerged as an effective tool in reducing psychological distress via guided journaling and reflective dialogue [49-51]. These tools offer stigma-free, in-the-moment help, one research suggests that some groups are 3 times more likely to communicate with an AI coach as opposed to human therapist, claiming they're less intimidated by being stigmatized. AI chatbots are accessible 24/7, offering students a nonjudgmental space to vent, ask questions or try out coping mechanisms at any time of day [52-55]. In education, similar chatbots have been deployed to aid with stress management and coping behavior training. Many use evidence-based techniques. When it detects increased stress, for example, a chatbot may lead a student through a short breathing exercise or mindfulness meditation. A few agents employ real-time mood tracking as well "just-in-time" interventions, like suggesting a calming activity or refocusing discouraging thoughts to assist learners in managing their emotions at that moment. Over time, these AI coaches could become vehicles for psychoeducation and ultimately challenge dysfunctional patterns of thinking, as Woebot does by creating assignments for the user to complete and keeping tabs on their progress in coping with emotions. Personalized feedback and motivational words from a chatbot may give students the confidence to tackle challenges. In one collegiate pilot, a generative AI–driven mental health chatbot developed into a supportive conversational partner for students and was proven to be an accessible resource alongside on-campus counseling, but participants were concerned about the limits of the AI's capabilities and usage of its data. Together, these studies raise the possibility that AI conversational agents might serve as scalable "digital counselors" to help users exercise coping resources, vent anonymously, and experience anonymous emotional support behavioral firewalls for resilience.

Still, AI chatbots aren't a cure-all, experts are quick to caution. Some of the evidence that they work is weak, and done badly, they can blow up in your face [23,56,57]. For example, as the authors of that meta-review observed, many mental health apps suffer from low user engagement or dropout. According to one source, there are some isolated incidences where non-specialized AI agents inadvertently elicited even more suicidal ideation. Today's AI is now able to read all sorts of signals from the sentiment in someone's text to voice and tone, facial expression or heart beats per minute and more that help it understand a learner's emotional state as she learns [58-61]. In this way, emotion-aware learning systems may adjust their actions or content to support students in handling negative emotions and cultivating resilience. So, if an AI tutoring system detects increasing frustration, it could proactively tweak the task difficulty level, offer a supportive hint or even call for a brief pause and reflection rather than driving the student to take-it-or-break-it extremes. New research in the field is proving just how much such AI-based emotional assistance could do. A review of the literature suggests that active affective systems do not only observe emotions, but rather actively interfere in an individual's emotional process by realizing specific strategies to develop the amount and quality of emotions [62-64. These interventions can be as immediate as micro-suggestions in the moment like an AI tutor suggesting some relaxing music or initiating a mindfulness breathing exercise when it notices a student's stress levels climbing. In the long term, these affective systems utilize well-known psychological frameworks such as cognitive-behavioral strategies to provide user-specific coaching for therapeutics of emotion regulation. For example, an immersive VR game environment known as the "Emotional

Labyrinth" modifies its content according to user's emotion in order to teach how to regulate effectively one's emotions during gaming exercises [1,65,66]. There are even more practical applications, AI-propelled emotion regulation coaching programs implemented in school settings for K–12 have shown to induce a more favorable emotional climate, make students better at managing their affect and create environment conditions conducive to learning and well-being. In primary education, combining AI elements with social-emotional learning (SEL) training has been recommended as an ecumenical solution primitive education powered by AI can personalize the SEL instruction for schools, allow collaborative practicing of emotional skills and provide feedback in real-time regarding learners' emotional development. By incorporating affective computing into classroom tools, schools could aid in training young learners to practice empathy, understand emotions, and work through stress in a guided way.

Natural Language Processing is vital in some of the affective computing systems applications such as that for adult users via internet [67-70]. So, very few studies based on advanced transformer-based NLP models have investigated modeling mental health-related sentiment with a very high accuracy. The hope is that it may also be a means of closing the loop between early diagnosis and intervention, with AI essentially "taking the pulse" of the class's mental state as an ongoing thing rather than through irregular surveys or self-reports. A handful of schools are testing out emotion-tallying dashboards to indicate when a cohort might need an additional wellness check or course load adjustment. Multimodal affect detection takes one step beyond and uses multiple sources of information [71-72]. Researchers have already begun integrating wearables with AI and computer vision to monitor signs of physical stress, as opposed to just behavior. For example, skin conductance or cortisol levels could be monitored by wearables and input into an AI system that notifies a teacher if a student's stress is high when studying. For a counseling or a skills-training program, computer vision algorithms could read facial micro-expressions or body language on the fly, offering an in-the-moment image of how the learner is feeling and discerning whether an intervention was even beginning to take effect. The technologies in question are still emerging, but they show the way to A.I. that is empathetic and sensitive to each learner's emotional odyssey.

AI is also being used in schools, colleges and universities using learning analytics and predictive modelling to support student resilience at a systemic level. Learning analytics (LA) is used to obtain insights and to make data-informed interventions on learners' learning behaviors e.g. grades, attendance, LMS activity, social interactions. Originally targeted for improving academic success as their primary purpose, LA is increasingly being utilized to observe and support student mental health in addition to well-being. The theory is that students going through emotional challenges show subtle signs in their academic work like a sudden drop in participation, missed assignments or social withdrawal and AI can pick up on those patterns faster and more accurately than people. By using machine learning models on big student datasets, universities can build early alert systems predicting which students are in danger of failure, burnout or dropping out and providing proactive support before problems become critical.

Predictive risk models based on machine learning approaches has recently become more complex and now use not only academic data but also social-environmental information to provide a fuller student well-being profile. Some studies, however, even apply NLP to help-desk emails or advising notes to notice sentiments that can be indicative of a mental health issue [3-5]. The use of learning analytics for mental health, however, must be undertaken with the utmost regard to ethics and student perception. In a qualitative case study focused on students' perceptions of LA for well-being, learners viewed analytics as a mental health tracking and early intervention tool post knowledge-gain, and were open to its co-existence with existing support options.

Despite these challenges, development of analytics assisted support tools is taking place. Sophisticated "wellbeing dashboards" can not only tell us when students are at academic risk but also narrow down possible reasons by patterns throwing clues, and guide support staff in shaping their action for a student. For instance, if data indicates a student's performance seems to be hampered by financial stress, it might suggest that you refer that student to financial counseling. Ultimately, predictive modeling is in education evolving from predicting grades to predicting and preventing crises, which could build a lot more overall resistance capacity for student bodies as intervention resources are directed right when

students need them personally. More are likely to approach and adopt these data-informed practices in the years ahead based on ongoing advances around privacy and effectiveness around student wellbeing analytics. Resilience can be related to self-efficacy in that the student believes that they have the capacity and ability to learn. AI-driven adaptive learning systems is highly promising to develop self-efficacy and growth mindset, and hence the resilience. ML for personalized learning Adaptive learning platforms which leverage ML to tailor educational content and pace of instruction to the learner, at their level for personalization of difficulty, response and support. These systems attempt to keep students in a "zone" of productive struggle by perpetually adjusting challenge so that it's not too low nor too high to induce growth. This tailor-made scaffolding can also help prevent repeated failures or frustrations that erode confidence. In practice, adaptive AI tutors offer a safety net for students to fail and then try again with feedback; Theoretically, fearing failure decreases (and post-failure effort is sustained) when there are smaller consequences to failures.

Recent literature shows evidence that AI adaptivity has good emotional and motivational effects. In language learning, for instance, intelligent mobility assistive environments have been found to help develop emotional resilience by offering individual feedback that can reduce anxiety and encourage reflection [2,15-17]. Artificial intelligence-enabled tutoring tools can provide instant, nonjudgmental corrections and gentle nudges that help students learn from errors without the typical performance anxiety. By providing students with more control, and customizing the learning experience to meet their needs, AI tutors enable children to feel more confident in their abilities. The efficacy benefit of AI support has been reported by previous studies. Studies have found AI-generated feedback increases confidence among learners by validating their progress and providing clear direction. The AI system was supportive by catching errors and making suggestions for improvement, in a less high-stakes way, which relieved some of the pressure around writing. Likewise, an AI language-speaking assistant has been proved to contribute to the reduction of foreign language anxiety and the improvement of learners' willingness to communicate in English. By building a space that was patient and all their own to practice speaking, without the social judgment of interacting with others, these AI tutors gave students a necessary confidence in public when they spoke later on. AI adaptive learning is being used as well to teach coping and problem-solving skills. There are now some intelligent tutoring systems that include metacognitive prompts and reflective exercises such as asking students at certain points how they worked on a problem, or encouraging them to think about what they do when things go 'wrong'. These characteristics are consistent with those for building resilience, which involve educating learners to perceive difficulties as no big problem if they are dealt with the correct way. At the K–12 level, scholars have recommended systems-based adaptive learning ecosystems that combine academic content with SEL goals. Such a system would perhaps not only calibrate the difficulty of math problems but could even sense whether or not a student was getting frustrated before pausing to present, say, brief animated scenarios that could teach coping strategies.

It is worth mentioning that technology is not a replacement for human support but complements it. Research studies have emphasized that both the teacher support and the computer AI support are combined to get the best results. To this extent, in AI-augmented blended classrooms the fact of teachers using data and insights from AIs to give students the right words at the right time leads to even higher rates of increase in student self-efficacy than merely having either an presence or a teacher corpus alone. This also supports the notion of using AI not only to free teachers from so much teaching. For these routine tasks, robots can operate more efficiently than humans, who would be free to focus on the students' emotions, self-esteem and motivation. Students who receive both AI-guided personalized support and human mentorship are the most supported, and this is a well that we can draw from for ongoing strength.

There are a few possible trajectories of converging AI and psychological resilience in education, although the fusion of this field is nascent: One would be the rise of generative AI, credit-generating, Stanley Chen Baptiste-imitating vs a creative, innovative-generating based AI and one that's comfortable across iterative error. These have strongly improved the capacity of conversational AI tutors and coaches to speak, so that they sound more human, more empathic. Some students have been testing out the howlers in tools like ChatGPT for assistance not only with homework, but also emotional advice.

It's that that sort of omnipresence allows us to make resilience support more a part of the trajectory itself, so that it's like an AI writing assistant coming along and checking your grammar and so forth, but if they hear stress language from the student are then able to give a message of encouragement or send them off on some fun thing you should go do. Smart conversational agents woven into learning management systems and campus apps are on the horizon, so if a student is stuck on an assignment at 2 AM., they can flip over to chat with a supportive AI that knows what coping strategies or services exist on campus.

Guaranteeing data privacy, algorithmic fairness and transparency are essential if students are to have confidence in AI for their personal struggles. Efforts to establish ethical guidelines for AI in student well-being are already underway. Moreover, more research is needed to confirm long-term results: Does the use of an AI tutor or chatbot lead to continuing improvements in coping skills or only momentary relief? Early results are promising but mixed chatbots, for example, clearly reduce distress, though some studies haven't found significant improvements in positive well-being metrics; in other words, AI tools may need to be paired with other interventions to fully boost resilience. Low engagement is a continued worry, if students make use of the tools only a few times, it's hard to see the impact. More gamification, and motivational hooks from the next generation of AI designs will keep students practicing mental health habits in the long run.

For example, if a bias in the training data becomes evident in an AI system seeking to identify what students are most at risk of failing academically and subsequently dropping out, this may well lead to some groups being unfairly targeted. By contrast, developers and educators really need to be aware of whether we're training AI systems on diverse, representative data sets and continue to track the model outputs for fairness. One of these is to ensure that AI complements, rather than replaces, human interaction. AI can instead be a very effective tool to help personalize education and build resilience-but it should not replace human teachers, counsellors or peer support networks. One of the best contributors towards mental resilience is human connection. AI systems must therefore be regarded as complementary and not as a means to replace human-to-human support. Maybe the educators. Offering the students a counterbalanced view of well-being would add artificial Intelligence to the list of both teachers and providers of care at this stage of education. But the power of AI to cultivate human resilience in education is colossal. One of the greatest is this: AI could make real-time mental health monitoring and support feasible.AI can by lean devices track a student's mental health on an ongoing basis because it is based in the machine learning algorithms and data analytics capacity and makes immediate solution available as needed. For example, the moment a student begins to show symptoms of burnout or anxiety is an opportunity for AI systems to provide mental health first aid: breathing exercises and mindfulness activities or--if students might wish instead to speak to the counselor with whom they feel at ease. In those interventions could be ways to keep a student from ever getting to the crisis point and make certain that he or she is not merely bearing it but even growing more resilient as a consequence.

Such as AI-powered smart intervention systems, which can detect behavior pattern and emotion changes to identify potential mental health hazards early stage. Then reinforcement learning itself has been used to model the pathophysiology of diseases. Or, in other words, it is about projecting emotional regulation relationships on to resiliency. These different psychological faculties represent different mental models and a minefield for studying how to interfere with cognitive stress. Any AI generation model, such as LLM for producing personalized treatment text. Use these models to build individual's narrative in order to enhance personalized support based on EMR. Nevertheless, as AI is increasingly applied to questions of mental resilience there are ethical considerations which must be faced. There are concerns for example over privacy of data and informed consent as well as a degree of over-reliance on systems which should be carefully considered. Mindspot's website clearly informed its AI assistants that support for mental health should not take the place of actual human contact. Maintaining this boundary is essential to the integrity of support for mental health.

Frameworks for building resilience

The literature has also suggested many models for developing resilience in educational settings. These models usually include at least four parts such as coping skills, skill building, social support, and mind-body techniques. Take the biopsychosocial model as an example. It emphasizes that biological, psychological, and sociocultural factors must all interact to produce resilience in people. The resilience theory of the model presented here indicates that whether a person is resilient is not solely dependent on one's own characteristics, but can also be affected by external elements such as social support, environmental pressures and institutional policies. Another major theory is called Person-Environment Fit theory, and which transfers the based on whether or not a student meets up to his school's environment project attitude from individual attributes to how much one's gifts and qualities fit into what the school demands for its students. When students feel that their personal strengths match the challenges they face, they are more likely to persist and have a lower stress burden. This framework underscores the need to build an affirming and nurturing learning environment. It is the expression of love and justice aimed at satisfying diverse needs for all students, and gives everyone a feeling that they are in good health.

Impact of climate change and environmental stressors

The spirit for proposing psychological education needs to look at the ways in which that can be applied to an effort towards developing psychological resilience with reference to changes in climate and environmental danger. Social media users in areas plagued by natural disasters, such as drought-stricken regions, worsening environmental conditions and at times extreme freak weather face challenges that are harmful for their mental health and which impede academic achievement. As climate change increasingly becomes a growing load, the requirement is to discover some tactics which could help students weather environmental stresses.. Pupils taught skills in climate resilience that is, capacities which act as protective actions helping people cope and adapt when presented with stresses arising from changes to something they value like their environment quality have also shown enhanced capacity to reduce psychological responses created by these stresses according research. The curriculum at a number of educational programs is integrating climate resilience – that is, environmental science, sustainability and social justice. They are created to equip students with the information and resources for dealing with some of the issues surrounding climate change impacts and resilience, on a personal level as well as at community level.

Policies and regulations supporting resilience

Resilience is gradually becoming a concept that educators and policymakers understand to be key in fostering the success and wellbeing of students. Based on the emerging literature, for perspective each institution has developed intervention programs or initiatives to enhance psychological resiliency among college/university students. The focus of these stories includes how schools introduce mental health support into everyday teaching routine and help children grow resilience. This change is even more important as universities have raised their expectations and demands on students. For this reason, we need to develop policies that can protect students' mental health as well as giving them hope in overcoming stress. As such programs get underway there is hope for the future of education and new research on how to survive in the future world.

Future directions in psychological resilience in education

With emerging technologies, between forms of expression at university level based upon creativity, knowledge and understandings about resilience we are now entering a new era for resilience research [7-10]. And as the field develops further, it will be expected that a new generation of adaptive learning systems, in which mindfulness and stress management techniques are integrated naturally into courseware. Also, there needs to be cross-cultural studies of resilience, particularly in non-Western

educational settings. As education or health services and institutions increasingly turn international, what roles do various cultures play? How resilient are they under different conditions? What mechanisms for by which multiple customs can collaborate? Thirdly, it is important that teachers themselves learn to survive. and...as the emphasis changes to more and better programs for training emotional intelligence in teachers, equally perhaps teachers will play an important part in creating environments where students feel safe and well.

Fostering resilience promoting activities in school curricula is one example of a general development trend. Programmes such as the FRIENDS Programme developed by Professor Paula Barrett provide evidence of effectiveness in improving resilience and social emotional competence skills, as well as anxiety or depression identification/intervention for children of every developmental level. These methods are the ways people physically, cognitively or behaviorally adapt to stress and trauma--helping themselves afterwards. Throughout all these methods is the underlying idea that it is important to instill resilience from an early age [3,5]. Another potential route is through the use of technology in enhancing educational value to promote resilience. AI and Machine Learning's Latest advancement in AI and machine learning is now used to design individual strategies for helping students with mental health. The federated learning method, for example, can be employed to create predictive models identifying students at particular risk of encountering mental health problems without ever accessing any personally identifiable data (PII). Over time this may result in new evidence-based interventions directed toward catalyzing resilience which will suit many different students.

For quite some time, the concept of teacher well-being' has been in vogue. Research shows a teacher's own strength has an important influence on both his or her own quality of life and that of students. By helping teachers to be resilient we can make a positive contribution to student outcomes and continue our role in promoting an affectionate and supportive classroom environment for future teachers. This demonstrates that professional development for teachers is not only tied to promoting internal resilience in students and themselves but should also be taken as an educational goal. Along the same line of progress, people are starting to pay attention to the link between SEL and resilience. Research has shown that students in SEL programs--in which they are taught skills including self-awareness, self-management, social awareness, relationship skills and responsible decision making--deal with difficulties and recover from them better than others did previously. And the educational planning for incorporating SEL into school curricula arose at this particular time in order to create a student body that possesses resilience against failure: This is one of its basic forms of design Work. Now there is a big trend of concern about the relationship between resiliency and justice.

4. Conclusions

The research shows that resilience can change psychology in education. The change could be because of any number of internal and external factors including social support, methods of coping with stress, and the learning environment. The COVID-19 Pandemic was a significant stressor for many higher education students and as a result They experienced greater resilience. Anxiety, depression, burnout and capacity for adaptation to alternate forms of learning are factors that have all been found to be significantly associated with the resilience of students. The review highlights the need for adaptive learning methods, social support networks and mindfulness techniques to build resilience in educational settings conducive of mental health and well-being. Although there has been considerable advancement on the understanding of psychological resilience in education, a focus for future lines of research is required through intervention studies that investigate specific interventions to promote resilience across diverse educational parameters. Future research should consider longitudinal studies to explore long-term outcome effects of resilience-promoting strategies, effect of climate change on educational stress, and design personal interventions needed for vulnerable student groups. Addressing them in future studies may help to inform policy makers and educators seeking ways to promote resilience and mental health among students amid current global threats.

Author Contributions

SPP: Study design, methodology, software, writing original draft, and writing review and editing. AP: Software, resources, visualization, writing original draft, writing review and editing.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

[1] Graber R, Pichon F, Carabine E. Psychological resilience. London: Overseas Development Institute. 2015 Oct:3-27.

[2] Wosnitza M, Peixoto F, Beltman S, Mansfield CF. Resilience in education. Concepts, contexts and connections. 2018. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-76690-4

[3] Booth JW, Neill JT. Coping strategies and the development of psychological resilience. Journal of Outdoor and Environmental Education. 2017 Apr;20(1):47-54. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03401002

[4] Ang WH, Lau ST, Cheng LJ, Chew HS, Tan JH, Shorey S, Lau Y. Effectiveness of resilience interventions for higher education students: A meta-analysis and metaregression. Journal of Educational Psychology. 2022 Oct;114(7):1670. https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000719

[5] Fletcher D, Sarkar M. Psychological resilience. European psychologist. 2013 Apr 8. https://doi.org/10.1027/1016-9040/a000124

[6] Höfler M. Psychological resilience building in disaster risk reduction: Contributions from adult education. International Journal of Disaster Risk Science. 2014 Mar;5(1):33-40. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13753-014-0009-2

[7] Toland J, Carrigan D. Educational psychology and resilience: New concept, new opportunities. School Psychology International. 2011 Feb;32(1):95-106. https://doi.org/10.1177/0143034310397284

[8] Allan JF, McKenna J, Dominey S. Degrees of resilience: profiling psychological resilience and prospective academic achievement in university inductees. British Journal of Guidance & Counselling. 2014 Jan 1;42(1):9-25. https://doi.org/10.1080/03069885.2013.793784

[9] Sood S, Sharma A. Resilience and psychological well-being of higher education students during COVID-19: The mediating role of perceived distress. Journal of Health Management. 2020 Dec;22(4):606-17. https://doi.org/10.1177/0972063420983111

[10] Sakız H, Aftab R. Academic achievement and its relationships with psychological resilience and socio-demographic characteristics. International Journal of School & Educational Psychology. 2019 Oct 2;7(4):263-73. https://doi.org/10.1080/21683603.2018.1446372

[11] Seidel L, Cawley EI, Blanchard C. Enduring education and employment: Examining motivation and mechanisms of psychological resilience. Scandinavian Journal of Psychology. 2024 Aug;65(4):617-27. https://doi.org/10.1111/sjop.13007

[12] Neill JT, Dias KL. Adventure education and resilience: The double-edged sword. Journal of Adventure Education & Outdoor Learning. 2001 Jan 1;1(2):35-42. https://doi.org/10.1080/14729670185200061

[13] van Agteren J, Woodyatt L, Iasiello M, Rayner J, Kyrios M. Make it measurable: Assessing psychological distress, wellbeing and resilience at scale in higher education. Student Success. 2019 Jan;10(3):1-3. https://doi.org/10.5204/ssj.v10i3.1411

[14] Ewert A, Tessneer S. Psychological resilience and posttraumatic growth: An exploratory analysis. Journal of Experiential Education. 2019 Sep;42(3):280-96. https://doi.org/10.1177/1053825919859027

[15] DeRosier ME, Frank E, Schwartz V, Leary KA. The potential role of resilience education for preventing mental health problems for college students. Psychiatric Annals. 2013 Dec 1;43(12):538-44. https://doi.org/10.3928/00485713-20131206-05

[16] Xenofontos C, Mouroutsou S. Resilience in mathematics education research: A systematic review of empirical studies. Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research. 2023 Nov 10;67(7):1041-55. https://doi.org/10.1080/00313831.2022.2115132

[17] Aryuwat P, Asp M, Lövenmark A, Radabutr M, Holmgren J. An integrative review of resilience among nursing students in the context of nursing education. Nursing open. 2023 May;10(5):2793-818. https://doi.org/10.1002/nop2.1559

[18] Abdolrezapour P, Jahanbakhsh Ganjeh S, Ghanbari N. Self-efficacy and resilience as predictors of students' academic motivation in online education. Plos one. 2023 May 23;18(5):e0285984. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0285984

[19] White MA, McCallum F, editors. Wellbeing and resilience education: COVID-19 and its impact on education. Routledge; 2021 May 18. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003134190

[20] Vera Gil S. The influence of gender on academic performance and psychological resilience, and the relationship between both: Understanding the differences through gender stereotypes. Trends in Psychology. 2024 Apr 23:1-20. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43076-024-00370-7

[21] Wut TM, Lee SW, Xu J. Role of organizational resilience and psychological resilience in the workplace-Internal stakeholder perspective. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2022 Sep 19;19(18):11799. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191811799

[22] Fu Q, Zhang X. Promoting community resilience through disaster education: Review of community-based interventions with a focus on teacher resilience and well-being. PLoS one. 2024 Jan 2;19(1):e0296393. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0296393

[23] Sirin Gok M, Aydin A, Baga Y, Ciftci B. The relationship between the psychological resilience and general health levels of earthquake survivor nursing students in Kahramanmaras earthquakes, the disaster of the century. Journal of Community Psychology. 2024 Apr;52(3):498-511. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcop.23110

[24] Bittmann F. When problems just bounce back: about the relation between resilience and academic success in German tertiary education. SN social Sciences. 2021 Feb 15;1(2):65. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43545-021-00060-6

[25] Qiu Y, Huang Y, Wang Y, Ren L, Jiang H, Zhang L, Dong C. The role of socioeconomic status, family resilience, and social support in predicting psychological resilience among Chinese maintenance hemodialysis patients. Frontiers in Psychiatry. 2021 Sep 30;12:723344. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2021.723344

[26] Lee YH, Richards KA, Washburn N. Mindfulness, resilience, emotional exhaustion, and turnover intention in secondary physical education teaching. European Review of Applied Psychology. 2021 Nov 1;71(6):100625. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erap.2021.100625

[27] Chakeeyanun B, Wongpakaran N, Wongpakaran T, Oon-Arom A. Resilience, perceived stress from adapted medical education related to depression among medical students during the COVID-19 pandemic. InHealthcare 2023 Jan 12 (Vol. 11, No. 2, p. 237). MDPI. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare11020237

[28] Nagoji A, Mackasare S. How resilience, optimism and co-workers support predict faculty work engagement in private higher education institutions: empirical evidence from India. Current Psychology. 2023 Dec;42(36):32203-17. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-022-04196-3

[29] Sukut O, Sahin-Bayindir G, Ayhan-Balik CH, Albal E. Professional quality of life and psychological resilience among psychiatric nurses. Perspectives in psychiatric care. 2022 Jan 1;58(1). https://doi.org/10.1111/ppc.12791

[30] Ross PM, Scanes E, Locke W. Stress adaptation and resilience of academics in higher education. Asia Pacific Education Review. 2024 Oct;25(4):829-49. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12564-023-09829-1

[31] Song S, Yang X, Yang H, Zhou P, Ma H, Teng C, Chen H, Ou H, Li J, Mathews CA, Nutley S. Psychological resilience as a protective factor for depression and anxiety among the public during the outbreak of COVID-19. Frontiers in psychology. 2021 Jan 22;11:618509. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.618509

[32] Pillay D, Nel P, Van Zyl E. Positive affect and resilience: Exploring the role of self-efficacy and self-regulation. A serial mediation model. SA Journal of Industrial Psychology. 2022;48(1):1-2. https://doi.org/10.4102/sajip.v48i0.1913

[33] Haddoud MY, Onjewu AK, Al-Azab MR, Elbaz AM. The psychological drivers of entrepreneurial resilience in the tourism sector. Journal of Business Research. 2022 Mar 1;141:702-12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.11.069

[34] Zhi R, Derakhshan A. Modelling the interplay between resilience, emotion regulation and psychological well-being among Chinese English language teachers: The mediating role of self-efficacy beliefs. European Journal of Education. 2024 Sep;59(3):e12643. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejed.12643

[35] Wang Q, Sun W, Wu H. Associations between academic burnout, resilience and life satisfaction among medical students: a three-wave longitudinal study. BMC Medical Education. 2022 Apr 5;22(1):248. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-022-03326-6

[36] Gök A, Koğar EY. A meta-analysis study on gender differences in psychological resilience levels. Kıbrıs Türk Psikiyatri ve Psikoloji Dergisi. 2021;3(2):132-43.

[37] Liu Q, Ouyang L, Fan L, Liao A, Li Z, Chen X, Yuan L, He Y. Association between childhood trauma and Internet gaming disorder: a moderated mediation analysis with depression as a mediator and psychological resilience as a moderator. BMC psychiatry. 2024 Jun 4;24(1):412. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-024-05863-4

[38] Yang S, Wang W. The role of academic resilience, motivational intensity and their relationship in EFL learners' academic achievement. Frontiers in Psychology. 2022 Jan 26;12:823537. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.823537

[39] Wang Y, Qiu Y, Ren L, Jiang H, Chen M, Dong C. Social support, family resilience and psychological resilience among maintenance hemodialysis patients: a longitudinal study. BMC psychiatry. 2024 Jan 26;24(1):76. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-024-05526-4

[40] Sun Y, Song B, Zhen C, Zhang C, Cheng J, Jiang T. The mediating effect of psychological resilience between social support and anxiety/depression in people living with HIV/AIDS-a study from China. BMC Public Health. 2023 Dec 8;23(1):2461. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-023-17403-y

[41] Spohrer K. Resilience, self-discipline and good deeds-examining enactments of character education in English secondary schools. Pedagogy, Culture & Society. 2024 Jan 1;32(1):1-20. https://doi.org/10.1080/14681366.2021.2007986

[42] Emerson DJ, Hair Jr JF, Smith KJ. Psychological distress, burnout, and business student turnover: The role of resilience as a coping mechanism. Research in higher education. 2023 Mar;64(2):228-59. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11162-022-09704-9

[43] Latif S, Amirullah M. Students' academic resilience profiles based on gender and cohort. Jurnal Kajian Bimbingan dan Konseling. 2024;5(4):13. https://doi.org/10.17977/um001v5i42020p175

[44] Toth EE, Ihász F, Ruíz-Barquín R, Szabo A. Physical activity and psychological resilience in older adults: a systematic review of the literature. Journal of Aging and Physical Activity. 2023 Sep 12;32(2):276-86. https://doi.org/10.1123/japa.2022-0427

[45] Li Y, Guo K. Research on the relationship between physical activity, sleep quality, psychological resilience, and social adaptation among Chinese college students: A cross-sectional study. Frontiers in psychology. 2023 Feb 10;14:1104897. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1104897

[46] Cubero-Pérez R, Cubero M, Matías-García JA, Bascón MJ. Learner identity in secondary post-compulsory education students from Areas in Need of Social Transformation: an example of resilience. European Journal of Psychology of Education. 2024 Jun;39(2):535-56. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10212-023-00704-6

[47] Labrague LJ. Resilience as a mediator in the relationship between stress-associated with the Covid-19 pandemic, life satisfaction, and psychological well-being in student nurses: A cross-sectional study. Nurse Education in Practice. 2021 Oct 1;56:103182. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2021.103182

[48] Schmits E, Dekeyser S, Klein O, Luminet O, Yzerbyt V, Glowacz F. Psychological distress among students in higher education: one year after the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2021 Jul 12;18(14):7445. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18147445

[49] Delgado C, Roche M, Fethney J, Foster K. Mental health nurses' psychological well-being, mental distress, and workplace resilience: A cross-sectional survey. International Journal of Mental Health Nursing. 2021 Oct;30(5):1234-47. https://doi.org/10.1111/inm.12874

[50] Yu M, Wen J, Smith SM, Stokes P. Building-up resilience and being effective leaders in the workplace: a systematic review and synthesis model. Leadership & Organization Development Journal. 2022 Sep 16;43(7):1098-117. https://doi.org/10.1108/LODJ-09-2021-0437

[51] Rane N, Choudhary S, Rane J. Artificial intelligence for enhancing resilience. Journal of Applied Artificial Intelligence. 2024 Sep 9;5(2):1-33. https://doi.org/10.48185/jaai.v5i2.1053

[52] Hartmann S, Backmann J, Newman A, Brykman KM, Pidduck RJ. Psychological resilience of entrepreneurs: A review and agenda for future research. Journal of small business management. 2022 Sep 3;60(5):1041-79. https://doi.org/10.1080/00472778.2021.2024216

[53] To QG, Vandelanotte C, Cope K, Khalesi S, Williams SL, Alley SJ, Thwaite TL, Fenning AS, Stanton R. The association of resilience with depression, anxiety, stress and physical activity during the COVID-19 pandemic. BMC public health. 2022 Mar 12;22(1):491. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-022-12911-9

[54] Meng Q, Yan Z, Abbas J, Shankar A, Subramanian M. Human-computer interaction and digital literacy promote educational learning in pre-school children: mediating role of psychological resilience for kids' mental well-being and school readiness. International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction. 2025 Jan 2;41(1):16-30. https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2023.2248432

[55] Qi C, Yang N. Digital resilience and technological stress in adolescents: A mixed-methods study of factors and interventions. Education and Information Technologies. 2024 Oct;29(14):19067-113. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-12595-1

[56] Hwang EH, Kim KH. Relationship between optimism, emotional intelligence, and academic resilience of nursing students: the mediating effect of self-directed learning competency. Frontiers in Public Health. 2023 May 18;11:1182689. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2023.1182689

[57] Han P, Duan X, Jiang J, Zeng L, Zhang P, Zhao S. Experience in the development of nurses' personal resilience: A meta-synthesis. Nursing open. 2023 May;10(5):2780-92. https://doi.org/10.1002/nop2.1556

[58] Baatz J, Wirzberger M. Resilience as a professional competence: a new way towards healthy teachers?. Social Psychology of Education. 2025 Dec;28(1):56. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11218-024-10010-8

[59] Zhang Y, Huang Y, Qiu Y, Hu Y, Tao J, Xu Q, Zhang L, Dong C. Trajectories and influencing factors of psychological resilience among Chinese patients with maintenance hemodialysis. Heliyon. 2024 Sep 15;10(17). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e37200

[60] Wang Y, Pan Z. Modeling the effect of Chinese EFL teachers' self-efficacy and resilience on their work engagement: A structural equation modeling analysis. Sage Open. 2023 Dec;13(4):21582440231214329. https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440231214329

[61] Kim JS, Park HJ. How service employees' mindfulness links to task performance through psychological resilience, deep acting, and customer-oriented behavior. Behavioral Sciences. 2023 Aug 4;13(8):657. https://doi.org/10.3390/bs13080657

[62] Chen JJ, Liu LF, Chang SM, Lu CP. Identifying the top determinants of psychological resilience among community older adults during COVID-19 in Taiwan: A random forest approach. Machine Learning with Applications. 2023 Dec 15;14:100494. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mlwa.2023.100494

[63] Shaya N, Abukhait R, Madani R, Khattak MN. Organizational resilience of higher education institutions: An empirical study during COVID-19 pandemic. Higher education policy. 2023 Sep;36(3):529-55. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41307-022-00272-2

[64] Henry A, Thorsen C, Uztosun MS. Exploring language learners' self-generated goals: Does self-concordance affect engagement and resilience?. System. 2023 Feb 1;112:102971. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2022.102971

[65] Han SJ, Yeun YR. Psychological intervention to promote resilience in nurses: A systematic review and meta-analysis. InHealthcare 2023 Dec 28 (Vol. 12, No. 1, p. 73). MDPI. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare12010073

[66] Baguri EM, Roslan S, Hassan SA, Krauss SE, Zaremohzzabieh Z. How do self-esteem, dispositional hope, crisis self-efficacy, mattering, and gender differences affect teacher resilience during COVID-19 school closures?. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2022 Mar 31;19(7):4150. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19074150

[67] Hoşgör H, Yaman M. Investigation of the relationship between psychological resilience and job performance in Turkish nurses during the Covid-19 pandemic in terms of descriptive characteristics. Journal of Nursing Management. 2022 Jan;30(1):44-52. https://doi.org/10.1111/jonm.13477

[68] Sk S, Halder S. Effect of emotional intelligence and critical thinking disposition on resilience of the student in transition to higher education phase. Journal of College Student Retention: Research, Theory & Practice. 2024 Feb;25(4):913-39. https://doi.org/10.1177/15210251211037996

[69] Egan H, O'Hara M, Cook A, Mantzios M. Mindfulness, self-compassion, resiliency and wellbeing in higher education: a recipe to increase academic performance. Journal of Further and Higher Education. 2022 Mar 16;46(3):301-11. https://doi.org/10.1080/0309877X.2021.1912306

[70] Troy AS, Willroth EC, Shallcross AJ, Giuliani NR, Gross JJ, Mauss IB. Psychological resilience: an affect-regulation framework. Annual review of psychology. 2023 Jan 18;74(1):547-76. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-020122-041854

[71] Wang Y, Derakhshan A, Rahimpour H. Developing resilience among Chinese and Iranian EFL teachers: A multi-dimensional cross-cultural study. Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development. 2024 Jul 2;45(6):2111-28. https://doi.org/10.1080/01434632.2022.2042540

[72] Du C, Zan MC, Cho MJ, Fenton JI, Hsiao PY, Hsiao R, Keaver L, Lai CC, Lee H, Ludy MJ, Shen W. The effects of sleep quality and resilience on perceived stress, dietary behaviors, and alcohol misuse: a mediation-moderation analysis of higher education students from Asia, Europe, and North America during the COVID-19 pandemic. Nutrients. 2021 Jan 29;13(2):442. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu13020442